

5

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,497	KAMANI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yolanda L. Wilson	2113	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 06/05/07.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-24 and 26-37.
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-24,26-37 allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The primary reason for the allowance of claims 1-15 is the inclusion of the following limitations: 'creating consistent evaluation of the object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or additional test instructions for varying environments...an act of receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which the actual test results are generated; in response to receiving environmental data when attempting to find a match therewith, an act of comparing the received environmental data with a set of test entry environments stored in a results retrieval sub-system, wherein each test entry environment of the set corresponds to a particular environment for which the test was previously executed; based on the comparing, an act of selecting a specific test entry environment from among the set of test entry environments stored in the results retrieval sub-system, wherein one or more environmental conditions for the selected specific test entry environment have increased commonality with one or more environmental conditions of the environmental data'.
3. The primary reason for the allowance of claims 16-24,26,27 is the inclusion of the following limitations: 'creating consistent evaluation of the object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or additional test instructions

for varying environments by retrieving expected results that are to be used to determine if a test was successful...an act of receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which the actual test results are generated; in response to receiving environmental data when attempting to find a match therewith, an act of comparing the received environmental data with a set of test entry environments stored in a results retrieval sub-system, wherein each test entry environment of the set corresponds to a particular environment for which the test was previously executed; based on the comparing, an act of selecting a specific test entry environment from among the set of test entry environments stored in the results retrieval sub-system, wherein one or more environmental conditions for the selected specific test entry environment have increased commonality with one or more environmental conditions of the environmental data'.

4. The primary reason for the allowance of claims 28-33 is the inclusion of the following limitations: 'creating consistent evaluation of the object across multiple testing environments without having to generate or maintain new or additional test instructions for varying environments...an act of receiving environmental data that defines the test environment under which the actual test results are generated; in response to receiving environmental data when attempting to find a match therewith, an act of comparing the received environmental data with a set of test entry environments stored in a results retrieval sub-system, wherein each test entry environment of the set corresponds to a particular environment for which the test was previously executed; based on the comparing, an act of selecting a specific test entry environment from among the set of

test entry environments stored in the results retrieval sub-system, wherein one or more environmental conditions for the selected specific test entry environment have increased commonality with one or more environmental conditions of the environmental data'.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda L. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Yolanda L Wilson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2113